Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42964 Fruitvale Independent School District RN101527919 Docket No. 2011-2117-MWD-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

MWD

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Fruitvale Independent School District, located approximately 1,200 feet northeast of the intersection of U.S. Highway 80 and Farm-to-Market Road 1910 and approximately 2.1 miles east of the intersection of U.S. Highway 80 and State Highway 19, Van Zandt County

Type of Operation:

Wastewater treatment plant

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: August 17, 2012

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$7,560

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,512 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$168 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$5,880

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$168 each

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average Site/RN - Average

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42964 Fruitvale Independent School District RN101527919 Docket No. 2011-2117-MWD-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: October 26, 2011

Date(s) of NOE(s): November 3, 2011

Violation Information

Failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations for total suspended solids, flow, and carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand [Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0012369001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to, within 90 days, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. WQ0012369001, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limitations.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A

Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42964 Fruitvale Independent School District RN101527919 Docket No. 2011-2117-MWD-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEO Enforcement Coordinator: Jill Russell, Enforcement Division, Enforcement

Team 3, MC 169, (512) 239-4564; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219,

(512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Jennifer Jones, Superintendent, Fruitvale Independent School District,

P.O. Box 77, Fruitvale, Texas 75127-0077

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 Assigned 7-Nov-2011 PCW 18-Apr-2012 Screening 15-Nov-2011 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Fruitvale Independent School District Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101527919 Facility/Site Region 5-Tyler Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 42964 No. of Violations 2 Docket No. 2011-2117-MWD-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Water Quality Government/Non-Profit Yes Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Jill Russell EC's Team Enforcement Team 3 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$3,500 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. \$4,060 **Compliance History** 116.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 Enhancement for 18 months of self-reported effluent violations, three Notes NOVs with dissimilar violations, and one order with denial of liability. 0,0% Enhancement \$0 Culpability No Subtotal 4 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$673 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$10,000 \$7,560 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Adjustment \$0 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage.

PAYABLE PENALTY

DEFERRAL

\$7,560

\$7,560

-\$1,512

\$6,048

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

20.0%

20 for 20% reduction.)

(Enter number only; e.g.

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

Respondent Fruitvale Independent School District

Case ID No. 42964

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101527919

Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Jill Russell

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Compliance History Worksheet

8		315	111	32	556	60		33	4		10	30	90	20			2									98			4	100				10			10		100			239	88	4	2	834	1	444	63	نند	*	
	*	٠,		獭	n	n	n.	n	и	7		Ħ	4	٠	п	¢	12	r	i i	*	1	8	N	Ħ.	¢.	ě.	т	r	ŧŧ	۲,	-	n	r	ρ	Ŧ١	ð.	P	n	۳	ŧ	•	• 1	п	h	ŧ	ก	Ŧ	a		2	1	

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	18	90%
	Other written NOVs	3	6%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	n	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
Avuis	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which</i> <i>violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%

	Ple	ease Enter Yes or No	
ŀ	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	 Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 116%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3) Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) >> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

>> Compliance History Summary

Average Performer

Compliance History Notes

Enhancement for 18 months of self-reported effluent violations, three NOVs with dissimilar violations, and one order with denial of liability.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 116%

Respondent Fruitvale Independent School District Fruitvale Independent Fruitvalent Fruitvalen	Screening Date		PCW
Red Statute No. NIO1527919 Media Statute Nionator Ni			
Media Statute Water Quality Enf. Coordinator II III	2、 大、 、 大水河、大、 大、 大大、 大大、 大水、 大水、 大水、 大水、 大水、 大水、 大		vision October 30, 2008
Violation Number Tex. Maker Code § 26.32(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Political Debrange Elimination System ("TPDES") Perim No. WQ0012369001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1 Violation Description Tabled to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as documented during a record review conducted on October 26, 2011, and shown in the attached table. Violation Description Tabled to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as documented during a record review conducted on October 26, 2011, and shown in the attached table. Section			
Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Tox. Water Code § 26.321(a)(1), 30-Tex. Anim. Code § 395.125(3), and Texas Soliutant Discharge Elimination System (*TPOSE***) Permit No. WQD01236903. Effluent Unitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1			
Ter. Water Cote § 26. 312(s)(1), 36 Tex. Admin. Cote § 305. 125(1), and Trexa Politicant Discharge Elimination System (TIDES); Permit No. w0001.239001. Fallics to camply with permitted effluent limitations, as documented during a record review conducted on October 26, 2011, and shown in the attached table. Violation Description Fallics to camply with permitted effluent limitations, as documented during a record review conducted on October 26, 2011, and shown in the attached table. Section	: 20 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	JII RUSSEII	
Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TRDES") Permit No. W00012369001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1.		The Market Code C 20 1247a3/13 20 Tay, Addis, Code C 205 125(1) and Town	
Violation Description Failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as documented during a record review conducted on October 26, 2013, and shown in the attached table. **Base Penalty** **Station Property and Human Health Matrix** **Potential** **Potential** **Potential** **Potential** **A Simplified model was used to evaluate carbonacasous blochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors. **Adjustment** **Station** **Notes** **Number of Violation Events** **N	Rule Cite(s)		
Violation Description Failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as documented during a record review conducted on October 26, 2011, and shown in the attached table.			
Section Property and Human Health Matrix Percent 25%			
Section Property and Human Health Matrix Percent 25%			
Servironmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Service Servironmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Servironmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Servironmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Servironmental Servironmen	Misladian Bassindian	Failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as documented during a record	
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Percent 25% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% A simplified model was used to evaluate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Total suspended solds and flow were also evaluated. As a result of these discharged, human health or the environment are protective of human health or environmental receptors. ### Adjustment 17,500 ### Adjustment 17,500 ### Violation Events Violation Events 3.0	violation Description	review conducted on October 26, 2011, and shown in the attached table.	
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Percent 25% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% A simplified model was used to evaluate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Total suspended solds and flow were also evaluated. As a result of these discharged, human health or the environment are protective of human health or environmental receptors. ### Adjustment 17,500 ### Adjustment 17,500 ### Violation Events Violation Events 3.0			
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Percent 25% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% A simplified model was used to evaluate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Total suspended solds and flow were also evaluated. As a result of these discharged, human health or the environment are protective of human health or environmental receptors. ### Adjustment 17,500 ### Adjustment 17,500 ### Violation Events Violation Events 3.0			
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Percent 25% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% A simplified model was used to evaluate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Total suspended solds and flow were also evaluated. As a result of these discharged, human health or the environment are protective of human health or environmental receptors. ### Adjustment 17,500 ### Adjustment 17,500 ### Violation Events Violation Events 3.0		Base Penalty	\$10,000
Release		,,	
Section Sect	>> Environmental, Proper		
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent D96 A simplified model was used to evaluate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Total suspended solids and flow were also evaluated. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or the environmental receptors. Adjustment	Poloneo		
>> Programmatic Matrix Faisfication Major Moderate Minor Faisfication Majo	88888888888888		
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% A simplified model was used to evaluate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Total suspended solids and flow were also evaluated. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or he environmental receptors. Adjustment 57,500 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 30 Number of violation days \$2,500 Violation Events One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Cood Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction Extraordinary Ordinary X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal 52,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount 5673 Violation Final Penalty Total 55,400			
A simplified model was used to evaluate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Total suspended solids and flow were also evaluated. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environmental receptors. Adjustment			
A simplified model was used to evaluate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Total supended solids and flow were also evaluated. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors. Adjustment 57,500 \$2,500 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 30 Number of violation days daily weekly weekly semianual annual single exent One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction 86 No No No to EDRE/Settlement Offer Extraordinary N/A (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal 52,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation 54,400 Estimated EB Amount 5673 Violation Final Penalty Total 55,400			
A simplified model was used to evaluate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Total suspended solids and flow were also evaluated. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors. Adjustment	Falsification		
Matrix Notes Matrix Matrix Notes Matrix Matrix Notes Matrix Ma	<u> </u>	Percent 0%	
Matrix Notes Matrix Matrix Notes Matrix Matrix Notes Matrix Ma	Bergelands		
Matrix Notes	8383386388888888		
Notes these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors. Adjustment			
Adjustment \$7,500			
Adjustment \$7,500			
Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events I 30 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual ainnual single event One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Fatraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400		receptors.	
Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events I 30 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual ainnual single event One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Fatraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400			
Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1		Adjustment \$7,500	
Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1			\$2,500
Number of Violation Events 1 30 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly x year monthly x year monthly x year monthly year annual annual single event		ı	
daily weekly monthly y weekly monthly y weekly monthly y wethin an x One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply	Violation Events		
daily weekly monthly y weekly monthly y weekly monthly y wethin an x One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply	NI	Coloring Control Contr	
Weekly	Number of V	Molation Events 1 30 Number of Violation days	
Weekly		dally	
Good Faith Efforts to Comply One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Sefore NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400		•	
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Comply	,	monthly x	
Annual single event One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply D.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400			\$2,500
One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400			
One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011. Good Faith Efforts to Comply Defore NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400			
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400		single event	
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400	. [2111111111111111111111111111111111111		
Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$5,400		One monthly event is recommended for the month of April 2011.	
Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$5,400			
Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$5,400			
Extraordinary Ordinary N/A	Good Faith Efforts to Com	**************************************	\$0
Ordinary N/A X (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$5,400			
N/A X (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400			
Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400			
Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400			
Violation Subtotal \$2,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400		Notes:	***************************************
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400		this violation.	WW & 0.11
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400			4
Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400		Violation Subtotal	\$2,500
Estimated EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,400	Economic Banefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Tost	
	requent benefit (ED) [0]	ans moration statutory Limit Test	
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$5,400	Estimat	ed EB Amount \$673 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$5,400
inis violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$5,400	4	This state plant a second part of the second part o	#E 400
		inis violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$5,400

Media Violation No.	Water Quality 1					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	1!
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delaved Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	l	<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$10,000	31-Mar-2011		1.35	\$0 \$673	n/a n/a	\$0 \$673
Permit Costs	Estimated cos	t to determine the	cause of nonc	1.35 ompliar ate requ	\$673 nce and take necesuired is the first m	n/a ssary corrective actions on the of noncomplian	\$673 ons to maintair
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cos compliance	t to determine the with permitted eff	cause of nonc luent limits. Do date is the ex	1.35 ompliar ate requ pected	\$673 nce and take necesulired is the first m date of complianc	n/a ssary corrective action onth of noncompliants e.	\$673 ons to maintain ice. The final
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated cos compliance	t to determine the with permitted eff	cause of nonc luent limits. Do date is the ex	1.35 ompliar ate requ pected enterir	\$673 nce and take neces uired is the first m date of complianc ng item (except	n/a ssary corrective action onth of noncomplian e. for one-time avoid	\$673 ons to maintaince. The final
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimated cos compliance	t to determine the with permitted eff	cause of nonc luent limits. Do date is the ex	1.35 ompliar ate requ pected enterir 0.00	\$673 nce and take neces uired is the first m date of complianc ig item (except \$0	n/a ssary corrective action onth of noncompliante. for one-time avoid \$0	\$673 ons to maintaince. The final led costs) \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated cos compliance	t to determine the with permitted eff	cause of nonc luent limits. Do date is the ex	1.35 ompliar ate requipected enterir 0.00 0.00	\$673 nce and take neces uired is the first m date of complianc ng item (except \$0 \$0	n/a ssary corrective action onth of noncomplian e. for one-time avoid	\$673 ons to maintain ice. The final led costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated cos compliance	t to determine the with permitted eff	cause of nonc luent limits. Do date is the ex	1.35 ompliar ate requ pected enterir 0.00	\$673 nce and take neces uired is the first m date of complianc ig item (except \$0	n/a ssary corrective action onth of noncompliante. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$673 ons to maintaince. The final led costs) \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel espection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated cos compliance	t to determine the with permitted eff	cause of nonc luent limits. Do date is the ex	1.35 compliar ate requested enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$673 nce and take neces uired is the first m date of complianc ig item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a ssary corrective action onth of noncompliante. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$673 ons to maintair ice. The final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated cos compliance	t to determine the with permitted eff	cause of nonc luent limits. Do date is the ex	1.35 compliar ate requested enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$673 nce and take neces uired is the first m date of complianc 19 item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a ssary corrective action onth of noncompliante. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$673 ons to maintain ice. The final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimated cos compliance	t to determine the with permitted eff	cause of nonc luent limits. Do date is the ex	1.35 compliar ate requested enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$673 nce and take neces uired is the first m date of complianc ig item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a ssary corrective action onth of noncompliante. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$6 ons to m ice. The led cos \$ \$

PCW		Screening Date
on 2 (September 2002) ision October 30, 2008	42964 PCW Revisio	Case ID No.
	Water Quality	Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute]
	E	Enf. Coordinator Violation Number
	Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and TPDES	Rule Cite(s)
	Permit No. WQ0012369001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1	
	Failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations, as documented during a record review conducted on October 26, 2011, and shown in the attached table.	Violation Description
200		
\$10,000	Base Penalty	
	ty and Human Health Matrix	>> Environmental, Prope
	<u></u>	Release
		OR Actual Potential
		>>Programmatic Matrix
	Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	Falsification
	I model was used to evaluate carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) to	A simplified
	whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human environment. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has	Matrix determine
	d to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors.	
	Adjustment \$9,000	
\$1,000	L	
***************************************		/iolation Events
	Violation Events 1 62 Number of violation days	Number of
	daily	
\$1,000	monthly quarterly X Violation Base Penalty	mark only one with an x
	semiannualannual	
	single event	
	ly event is recommended for the quarter that includes the months of March and May	One quarter
	2011	
\$0	ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	Good Faith Efforts to Com
	Extraordinary Ordinary	
	N/A x (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
	Volation Volation	
\$1,000	Violation Subtotal	
	pinotesia.	Economic Benefit (EB) for
\$2,160	ted EB Amount \$0 Violation Final Penalty Total	Estimat
\$2,160	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	:

	E	conomic I	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	Fruitvale Inde	oendent School Di	strict				
Case ID No.	42964						
Rea. Ent. Reference No.	RN101527919						
Media	Water Quality					A	Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
.,						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs		v			ı		
Equipment	ļ	<u> </u>		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Buildings Other (as peeded)		{		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)	 	{		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction Land		 	******	0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System	 	 	***************************************	0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs		 		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)		 		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs			See economic	benefi	t for Violation No.		
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enteri	ng item (except	for one-time avoid	
Disposal		Harrist Control of the Control of the Harrist Control of the Harrist Control of the Control of the Harrist Control of the Cont				*************	
		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling		Hermaninininin Minimpropalarim	HUMPAH MANASAH Manasah Manasah	0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment				0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		HEROODER COMPANIES IN COMPANIES		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

		Effluent V	iolations Tab	le		
		Fruitvale Indepe	endent School D	istrict		
	R	N101527919; Do	cket 2011-2117-	MWD-E		
		TPDES Permit	No. WQ001236	9001		4
	Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-Day) DAV Concentration	Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-Day) SG Concentration	Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-Day) DAV Loading	Total Suspended Solids DAV Concentration	Total Suspended Solids DAV Loading	Flow DAV
Month/ Year	Limit = 30 mg/L	Limit = 100 mg/L	Limit = 1.4 lb/d	Limit = 90 mg/L	Limit = 4.1 lb/d	Limit = 0.0054 MGD
March 2011	32.1	С	c	С	С	c
April 2011	89.1	121	12	100.2	32.8	0.01
May 2011	72.0	104	С	С	С	c

DAV = Daily Average, SG = Single Grab
mg/L = milligrams per liter, lb/d = pounds per day
MGD = million gallons per day
c = compliant

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600801401 FRUITVALE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT Classification: AVERAGE

Regulated Entity: RN101527919

FRUITVALE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT Classification; AVERAGE

ID Number(s):

WASTEWATER

PERMIT

Site Rating: 2.20 WQ0012369001

WASTEWATER

EPA ID

TX0087246

Rating: 2.20

WASTEWATER LICENSING

LICENSE

WQ0012369001

Location:

Approximately 1,200 feet northeast of the intersection of United States Highway 80 and Farm-to-Market Road

1910 and approximately 2.1 miles east of the intersection of United States Highway 80 and State Highway 19 in

Van Zandt County, Texas

TCEQ Region:

REGION 05 - TYLER

Date Compliance History Prepared:

November 14, 2011

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period:

November 14, 2006 to November 14, 2011

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

C.

Jill Russell

Phone:

(512) 239 - 4564

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? No

3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator?

4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

N/A

6. Rating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 10/31/2009

ADMINORDER 2009-0983-MWD-E

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rgmt Prov: Effluent Limits PERMIT

Description: Failure to comply with permit effluent limits as documented by a TCEQ record review of self-reported data.

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Chronic excessive emissions events.

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

> 1 07/03/2007 (564944)

2 05/16/2007 (586714)

3 06/18/2007 (586715)

4 07/17/2007 (586716)

5 01/18/2007 (586717)

6 01/18/2007 (586718)

7 09/07/2007 (593606)

8 08/06/2007	(624625)
--------------	----------

- 9 08/06/2007 (624626)
- 10 08/19/2007 (624627)
- 11 09/18/2007 (624628)
- 12 10/18/2007 (624629)
- 13 11/16/2007 (624630)
- 14 01/17/2008 (624631)
- 15 01/09/2008 (624632)
- 16 02/19/2008 (675440)
- 17 03/17/2008 (675441)
- 18 04/29/2008 (693774)
- 19 05/16/2008 (693775)
- 20 06/20/2008 (693776)
- 21 07/18/2008 (693777)
- 22 08/21/2008 (715102)
- 23 09/19/2008 (715103)
- 24 10/20/2008 (715104)
- 25 01/22/2009 (722685)
- 26 01/20/2009 (730931)
- 27 06/11/2009 (743119)
- 28 02/19/2009 (754167)
- 29 03/27/2009 (754168)
- 30 04/23/2009 (754169)
- 31 12/18/2008 (754170)
- 32 12/18/2008 (754171)
- 33 05/26/2009 (7.71488)
- 34 05/04/2010 (799696)
- 35 02/24/2010 (814555)
- 36 09/18/2009 (814556)
- 37 09/18/2009 (814557)

38	09/18/2009	(814558)
39	09/18/2009	(814559)
40	10/22/2009	(814560)
41	11/20/2009	(814561)
42	12/21/2009	(814562)
43	01/20/2010	(814563)
44	03/18/2010	(834313)
45	04/19/2010	(834314)
46	06/21/2010	(847324)
47	11/10/2010	(866001)
48	09/27/2010	(868169)
49	08/18/2010	(868170)
50	07/31/2010	(875095)
51	10/07/2010	(876798)
52	10/18/2010	(882706)
53	11/22/2010	(889117)
54	02/11/2011	(894037)
55	12/20/2010	(897491)
56	10/20/2010	(903383)
57	02/17/2011	(910296
58	04/20/2011	(928557
59	05/14/2011	(939204
60	05/16/2011	(939205
61	05/26/2011	(939206
62	05/18/2011	(940840
63	06/17/2011	(946625
64	07/20/2011	(953881
65	08/11/2011	(960476
66	11/03/2011	(963806
~-	00/40/0044	(0000000

67 09/19/2011

(966533)

Date: 03/31/2007 (624626)

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

CN600801401

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 04/30/2007 (586714) CN600801401

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 07/06/2007 (564944) CN600801401

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(11)(B)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(11)(C) Sludge Reporting Requirements PERMIT

Description: Failed to submit, by September 1, 2006, the annual sewage sludge Discharge

Monitoring Reports (DMRs) for the reporting period ending July 31, 2006.

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.7(c)

Self-Reporting PERMIT

Description: Failed to submit monthly discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) for the following

months:
August 2005, September 2005, October 2005, November 2005, December 2005,

January 2006, February 2006, March 2006, April 2006, May 2006, September 2006, November 2006, January 2007, February 2007, and March 2007.

Date: 07/31/2007 (624627) CN600801401

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 04/30/2008 (693775) CN600801401

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2008 (693777) CN600801401

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 12/31/2008 (730931) CN600801401

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 01/31/2009 (754167) CN600801401

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 03/31/2009 CN600801401 (754169)

Self Report? Classification: Moderate

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation:

(771488)

Date: 04/30/2009

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

CN600801401

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 05/31/2009 (814556)CN600801401

Self Report? Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 09/30/2009 (814560)CN600801401

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 02/28/2010 (834313)CN600801401

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26,121(a) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 03/31/2010 (834314)CN600801401

Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26,121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 04/30/2010 (903383)CN600801401

Self Report? Classification: Moderate

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2010 CN600801401 (868169)Self Report? Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

Date: 10/07/2010 CN600801401 (876798)

Self Report? Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report? Classification: NO Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE Description:

Self Report? Classification: Moderate NO

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17) NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Description:

Self Report? Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report? NO

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Date: 03/31/2011

(928557)

CN600801401

Self Report? YES

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

(939205)

CN600801401

Date: 04/30/2011 Self Report? YES

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

Classification: Moderate

Citation. Description:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

NO

NO

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 05/18/2011

(940840)

CN600801401

Self Report? NO

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description: Self Report? NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report?

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report? Citation:

NO 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17) NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report?

NO Citation:

NO

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Self Report? Citation:

NO 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description:

NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Date: 05/31/2011

(946625)

CN600801401

Self Report? YES

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

Description:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits. N/A G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). N/A H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. ١. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. N/A J. Early compliance. N/A K. Sites Outside of Texas N/A



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
FRUITVALE INDEPENDENT	§	
SCHOOL DISTRICT	§	
RN101527010	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2011-2117-MWD-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On	, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the
Commission" or "TCEQ")	considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement
action regarding Fruitvale	Independent School District ("Respondent") under the authority of
TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 au	nd 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement
Division, and the Responde	ent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a wastewater treatment plant at approximately 1,200 feet northeast of the intersection of United States Highway 80 and Farm-to-Market Road 1910 and approximately 2.1 miles east of the intersection of United States Highway 80 and State Highway 19 in Van Zandt County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under Tex. Water Code ch. 26.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about November 8, 2011.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seven Thousand Five Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$7,560) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Sixty-Eight Dollars (\$168) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Five Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$1,512) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all

the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Five Thousand Eight Hundred EightyDollars (\$5,880) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Sixty-Eight Dollars (\$168) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have failed to comply with permitted effluent limitations, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0012369001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1, as documented during a record review conducted on October 26, 2011 and shown in the table below:

	Effluent Violations Table					
	Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-Day) DAV Concentration	Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-Day) SG Concentration	Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-Day) DAV Loading	Total Suspended Solids DAV Concentration	Total Suspended Solids DAV Loading	Flow DAV
Month/Year	Limit = 30 mg/L	Limit = 100 mg/L	Limit = 1.4 lb/d	Limit = 90 mg/L	Limit = 4.1 lb/d	Limit = 0.0054 MGD
March 2011	32.1	С	С	С	c	c
April 2011	89.1	121	12	100.2	32.8	0.01
May 2011	72.0	104	С	С	c	с

DAV = Daily Average, $SG = Single\ Grab$ $mg/L = milligrams\ per\ liter$, $lb/d = pounds\ per\ day$ $MGD = million\ gallons\ per\ day$ c = compliant

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Fruitvale Independent School District, Docket No. 2011-2117-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall, within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. WQ0012369001, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current self-reported discharge monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limitations. The

Fruitvale Independent School District DOCKET NO. 2011-2117-MWD-E Page 4

certification shall include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with the permitted effluent limitations. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section, Manager Tyler Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2916 Teague Drive Tyler, Texas 75701-3734

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

Fruitvale Independent School District DOCKET NO. 2011-2117-MWD-E Page 5

- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be d, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

For the Commission

Fruitvale Independent School District

Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Pans Jave: J.	9/25/12			
For the Executive Director	Date			
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the att agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the e do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially	ntity indicated below my signature, and I . I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in			
 I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted; Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency; Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions; Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law. 				
In addition, any falsification of any compliance docum. Signature JENNIFERS JONES Name (Printed or typed)	Date Superintendent Title			

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.